# BEST'S RATING REPORT

# THE BARISTABLE SINCE 1833

# **BARNSTABLE GROUP**

 AMB #: 002978
 NAIC #: N/A
 FEIN #: N/A

 Phone:
 Fax:
 Website: N/A

Barnstable County Ins Co A
Barnstable County Mut Ins Co A







#### **Best's Credit Rating Effective Date**

May 06, 2021

#### **Analytical Contacts**

Christopher Draghi, CPCU Associate Director Chris.Draghi@ambest.com +1(908) 439-2200 Ext. 5043

Michelle Baurkot Director Michelle.Baurkot@ambest.com +1(908) 439-2200 Ext. 5314

#### **Information**

Best's Credit Rating Methodology

**Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** 

**Market Segment Outlooks** 

#### **Financial Data Presented**

Financial data in this report: (i) includes data of affiliated entities that are not rating unit members where analytics benefit from inclusion; and/or (ii) excludes data of rating unit member entities if they operate in different segments or geographic areas than the Rating Unit generally. See <a href="List of companies">List of companies</a> for details of rating unit members and any such included and/or excluded entities.

The financial data in this report reflects the most current data available to the Analytical Team at the time of the rating. Updates to the financial exhibits in this report are available here: Best's Financial Report.

# **Barnstable Group**

AMB #: 002978

**Associated Ultimate Parent:** AMB # 000203 - Barnstable County Mutual Insurance Company

#### **Best's Credit Ratings - for the Rating Unit Members**

Financial Strength Rating (FSR)

#### **Excellent**

Outlook: **Negative**Action: **Affirmed** 

Issuer Credit Rating (ICR)

a

#### **Excellent**

Outlook: **Negative**Action: **Affirmed** 

#### **Assessment Descriptors**

Balance Sheet Strength	Very Strong
Operating Performance	Strong
Business Profile	Limited
Enterprise Risk Management	Appropriate

#### **Rating Unit - Members**

Rating Unit: Barnstable Group | AMB #: 002978

AMB #Rating Unit MembersAMB #Rating Unit Members002896Barnstable County Ins Co000203Barnstable County Mut Ins Co



# **Rating Rationale**

#### **Balance Sheet Strength: Very Strong**

- Barnstable Group (Barnstable) maintains the strongest level of risk-adjusted capitalization as measured by Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR) at the 99.6% VaR confidence level.
- Surplus growth has been reported in the majority of the past ten years, with the outliers influenced by abnormally severe weather conditions, while unrealized capital losses have generally been offset by realized capital gains.
- Very low underwriting leverage and a proven, conservative reserving philosophy with redundancies reported in each of the past ten years.
- Robust liquidity positions support the group's ability to meet claim obligations in times of increased severity and frequency.

#### **Operating Performance: Strong**

- The five-year average loss ratio significantly outperforms the personal property composite reflective of management's strict underwriting guidelines and efforts to develop a high quality book of business. This is partially offset by elevated expenses associated with high commission costs in New England and strategically low premium leverage. In total, the combined ratio average modestly outperforms the composite.
- Pretax operating income reported in nine of the past ten years, where underwriting income has been reported in all but two, and
  net investment income has been relatively consistent year over year. Over the last five years, the amount of pretax operating
  income has fluctuated with a loss reported in one year.
- The operating ratio has been below 100 in all but one of the last five years, averaging in the high 80s.
- The five-year pretax return on revenue average is above the composite average while the return on equity metrics remain positive but below the composite average. The latter is affected by the comparatively low level of premium written compared to surplus. In an effort to improve revenue in light of the strategic premium position, Barnstable has acquired insurance-related companies to add additional streams of revenue to the enterprise.

#### **Business Profile: Limited**

- Boutique writer focused on writing homeowners business on Cape Cod and the surrounding coastal counties through wellestablished relationships with independent agents.
- Carves out a niche market providing products with superior customer and claim services.
- Geographic and product concentrations limit the group's scope of operations, which is partially mitigated by comprehensive catastrophe reinsurance with a relatively high limit.
- Continually monitors quantitative and qualitative characteristics regarding the book of business to align risks with management's appetite and to develop a quality book that performs better than average in most years.

#### **Enterprise Risk Management: Appropriate**

- Barnstable's enterprise risk management (ERM) capabilities are considered appropriate for the risk profile of the organization.
- ERM policy is developed and administered by senior management and regularly reviewed by the Audit Committee.
- Maintains comprehensive risk identification and tolerance documentation with regular monitoring of key metrics to ensure alignment.
- Elevated tail risk as evidenced by BCAR at the 99.8% VaR, which is somewhat offset by strict underwriting standards, improved building codes and a total insurable value inflation guard. Given the very narrow footprint, the group's risk management techniques, particularly regarding the potential for severe localized events, will continue to be monitored.

#### **Outlook**

• The negative outlooks are based on increased volatility in operating performance where key profitability metrics have been weakened in recent years, placing pressure on the strong assessment. Based on the significant geographic concentration of Barnstable's operating footprint, underwriting performance and surplus growth are exposed to fluctuations from weather-related events. This exposure is partially mitigated by extensive concentration analysis and a high quality reinsurance program that provides protection in excess of a 200-year event.



AMB #: 002978 - Barnstable Group

#### **Rating Drivers**

- Negative rating action could occur if weather events or other large losses further weaken operating performance and results no longer align with the strong assessment.
- Negative rating action could also occur following significant erosion in surplus and risk-adjusted capitalization that pressures overall balance sheet strength.

## **Key Financial Indicators**

#### Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR) Scores (%)

Confidence Level	95.0	99.0	99.5	99.6
BCAR Score	83.9	74.7	71.0	55.9

Source: Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio Model - P/C, US

Year	<b>Fnd</b>	- 1	Decem	her	31

Key Financial Indicators USD (000)	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Premiums Written:					
Direct	31,229	29,456	29,249	29,651	29,286
Assumed	249	248			
Ceded	10,401	9,756	9,512	9,479	9,957
Net	21,077	19,948	19,737	20,172	19,329
Net Operating Income	2,813	1,105	121	2,963	3,205
Net Income	3,746	2,118	1,971	4,551	7,185
Total Admitted Assets	135,099	118,262	111,587	114,976	106,499
Policyholders' Surplus	100,239	93,874	89,086	90,821	83,303

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

Year End	- D	ecem	ber	31
----------	-----	------	-----	----

		rear Erra	December 51			Weighted
Key Financial Ratios (%)	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	Average
Profitability:						
Combined Ratio	82.7	99.5	109.5	85.5	94.5	94.3
Reserve Development Combined Ratio Impact	-3.7	-3.9	-6.6	-7.6	-6.0	-5.6
Net Investment Yield	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2
Pre-Tax Operating Return on Net Earned Premiums	19.2	7.3	-1.7	19.9	11.3	11.2
Net Income Return on Policyholders' Surplus	3.9	2.3	2.2	5.2	8.8	4.4
Total Return on Policyholders' Surplus	7.5	7.0	-1.8	9.0	3.9	5.2
Leverage:						
Net	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Gross	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
Non-affiliated Investment	39.7	36.5	30.8	34.9	36.0	

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

# **Credit Analysis**

#### **Balance Sheet Strength**

Barnstable maintains the strongest level of risk-adjusted capitalization as measured by BCAR at the 99.6 confidence level. Balance sheet strength is supported by strong liquidity positions, very low underwriting leverage and favorable loss reserve development. While the size and scope of the group is limited, substantial capital protection is provided through a comprehensive reinsurance program while maintaining limited reinsurance dependence.

#### Capitalization

The group's strongest risk-adjusted capitalization reflects low underwriting leverage, historical surplus growth, favorable loss reserve development and substantial protection from catastrophe reinsurance. Net and gross underwriting leverages are low and compare favorably to the personal property composite. Surplus growth has been reported over the most recent 5 year period despite



AMB #: 002978 - Barnstable Group

#### **Balance Sheet Strength (Continued...)**

deterioration in 2018 due to historically severe winter weather and unrealized capital losses. Growth has been primarily driven by realized capital gains, net investment income and, to a lesser extent, net underwriting income.

**Year End - December 31** 

Capital Generation Analysis USD (000)	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Beginning Policyholders' Surplus	93,874	89,086	90,821	83,303	79,960
Net Operating Income	2,813	1,105	121	2,963	3,205
Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)	933	1,012	1,850	1,588	3,980
Net Unrealized Capital Gains (Losses)	3,563	4,243	-3,578	3,297	-4,002
Other Changes in Capital and Surplus	-945	-1,573	-128	-331	161
Net Change in Policyholders' Surplus	6,365	4,787	-1,734	7,517	3,344
Ending Policyholders' Surplus	100,239	93,874	89,086	90,821	83,303
Net Change in Policyholders' Surplus (%)	6.8	5.4	-1.9	9.0	4.2
Net Change in Policyholders' Surplus (5 yr CAGR)	4.6				

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

Year End - December 31

Liquidity Analysis	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Net Operating Cash Flow USD (000)	5,354	2,178	-129	2,647	1,977
Current Liquidity (%)	289.7	394.4	413.9	406.5	394.1

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Asset Liability Management - Investments**

The group maintains an investment portfolio that is weighted towards high quality fixed income and domestic large cap equities. As of 2020, 50.4% of investments were in long-term bonds, 19.1% in common stock, 14.3% in cash and cash equivalents, 2.1% in affiliated investments and 14.1% in other investments. The bond portfolio is comprised of high quality agency, tax-exempt and corporate bonds. The large cap common stock portfolio is equally split between actively and passively managed securities. The passively managed securities are structured to closely track the S&P 500 index. The actively managed portion is used to identify opportunities in other domestic large cap stocks and is overseen by an external advisor. Common stock as a percent of policyholders' surplus is comparable to the composite; however, the risk is partially mitigated by the group's buy and hold approach in large cap dividend paying securities. Other investments have grown as the group has recently purchased an adjusting firm and independent agency.

Barnstable's liquidity position as measured by the quick, current and overall ratios is strong and compares favorably to the composite. This is in part due to the structure of the investment portfolio regarding common stock, cash and cash equivalents.

Year End - December 31

Composition of Cash and Invested Assets	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Total Cash and Invested Assets USD (000)	119,397	110,853	104,843	107,588	99,636
Composition Percentages (%)					
Unaffiliated:					
Cash and Short Term Investments	14.4	13.4	18.5	14.2	12.1
Bonds	50.6	53.5	53.1	54.2	55.1
Stocks	19.2	19.4	16.8	22.3	24.1
Other Invested Assets	13.1	11.4	9.2	6.8	6.0
Total Unaffiliated	97.2	97.7	97.6	97.5	97.3
Investments in Affiliates	4.2	4.4	2.6	2.6	2.9
Non-Admitted	-1.5	-2.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



#### **Balance Sheet Strength (Continued...)**

	Years					
Bonds and Short Term Investments - Distribution by Maturity (%)	0-1	1-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Average (Years)
Government Bonds	2.3	11.1	0.8			2.9
Government Agencies and Municipal Bonds	9.2	25.4	21.8	1.8	0.8	4.9
Industrial and Miscellaneous Bonds	2.3	14.4	10.2	0.2		4.6
Total Bonds	13.7	50.8	32.8	1.9	0.8	4.5

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Reserve Adequacy**

Loss reserve development has been consistently favorable and reflective of management's conservative reserving philosophy. The property book is short-tailed with claims generally settled within two years. Reserves are set above the actuarial point estimate.

	Year End - December 31					
Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves and Development - Calendar Year	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Loss and ALAE* Reserves USD (000)	3,146	2,760	1,850	1,488	1,266	
Loss and ALAE* Reserves Development USD (000)		-830	-957	-1,223	-1,826	
Development to:						
Original Reserves (%)		-23.2	-34.1	-45.1	-59.1	
Prior Year End Reserves (%)						
Prior Year End Surplus (%)		-0.9	-1.1	-1.3	-2.2	

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

<sup>\*</sup> Interim LAE reserves balances displayed include Adjusting and Other Unpaid as well as Direct and Cost Containment Unpaid. Year End LAE balances include Direct and Cost Containment Unpaid only.

	Year End - December 31				
Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves and Development - Accident Year	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Original Loss and ALAE Reserves USD (000)	1,899	2,098	2,008	1,751	1,877
Loss and ALAE Reserves Developed thru Latest Year End USD (000)	1,899	1,694	1,431	1,117	910
Development to Original Reserves (%)		-19.3	-28.7	-36.2	-51.5
Accident Year Loss and LAE Ratio (%)	36.5	55.2	63.6	37.3	43.5
Accident Year Combined Ratio (%)	86.3	102.5	112.1	88.1	94.3

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Operating Performance**

Barnstable has experienced moderate underwriting volatility over the past five years with 2018 negatively impacted by higher frequency and severity of winter events that caused substantial losses across New England. Despite fluctuations, the five-year loss ratio average remains significantly better-than-average, reflective of strict underwriting guidelines and management's careful consideration of risk selection as it hones a high quality book. Risk characteristics reviewed include credit scores, distance to coast, loss history and insurable interest, all while paying special attention to total insured value through a comprehensive inspection program. Favorable loss experience is partly masked by an elevated expense ratio which is influenced by typically high commission costs in New England, recent system upgrades and strategically low premium leverage. Collectively, the loss and expense positions have historically generated sub-100 combined ratios; however, some years have reported comparatively weaker results driven by weather activity and fire losses.

Net investment income has been a reliable stream of revenue for the group and has served to offset or moderate underwriting fluctuations in prior years. As a result of its consistency, net investment income is a significant contributor to pretax operating income. Investment yield is low and compares unfavorably to the composite reflective of the low interest rate environment. Legacy equity positions have been repositioned to improve return. The total return on invested asset ratio has benefited from consistent realized gains.



#### **Operating Performance (Continued...)**

Barnstable has reported pretax operating income in 9 of the past 10 years, driven by both underwriting income and net investment income. The outlier was impacted by the aforementioned severe winter weather that yielded a small loss. The five-year average pretax return on revenue has not been consistent but averages exceed that of the composite. Additionally, except for 2018, the group has consistently reported a sub-100 operating ratio, illustrating its ability to generate profit from its core operations.

Year End - December 31

Operating and Financial Performance Ratios (%) - Company	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	Weighted Average
Calendar Year Loss and LAE Ratio	32.8	52.2	60.9	34.7	43.7	44.9
Expense and Policyholder Dividend Ratio	49.9	47.3	48.5	50.8	50.8	49.5
Combined Ratio	82.7	99.5	109.5	85.5	94.5	94.3
Reserve Development Ratio Impact	-3.7	-3.9	-6.6	-7.6	-6.0	-5.6
Net Investment Yield	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2
Pre-Tax Operating Return on Net Earned Premiums	19.2	7.3	-1.7	19.9	11.3	11.2
Net Income Return on Policyholders' Surplus	3.9	2.3	2.2	5.2	8.8	4.4
Total Return on Policyholders' Surplus	7.5	7.0	-1.8	9.0	3.9	5.2

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### Year End - December 31

Operating and Financial Performance Ratios (%)						Weighted
- Composite	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	Average
Calendar Year Loss and LAE Ratio	81.0	67.3	69.7	79.8	65.0	72.6
Expense and Policyholder Dividend Ratio	29.2	29.5	30.4	29.9	30.1	29.8
Combined Ratio	110.2	96.8	100.1	109.7	95.1	102.4
Reserve Development Ratio Impact	-1.9	-1.1	-2.9	-2.0	-0.5	-1.7
Net Investment Yield	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4
Pre-Tax Operating Return on Net Earned Premiums	-4.5	9.9	6.5	-2.7	11.8	4.1
Net Income Return on Policyholders' Surplus	-1.5	5.5	4.0	-0.2	7.0	2.9
Total Return on Policyholders' Surplus	-0.1	8.6	1.9	2.9	6.9	4.0

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

Industry Composite: Personal Property Composite - BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Business Profile**

Barnstable's scope of operations is limited by design as a niche homeowners writer on Cape Cod and other coastal counties of Massachusetts, marketing itself as a premier boutique carrier. While this produces geographic and product concentrations, the associated risks are partially mitigated by comprehensive catastrophe reinsurance with a relatively high top limit. Furthermore, firm limitations on distance to coast and flood zones have been established to reduce exposure.

Barnstable focuses on identifying desirable risks based on several characteristics and providing superior customer and claim services. Strict underwriting guidelines relate to credit scores, loss history and insurable interest. Management strives to develop a book of business that performs better than average and continually monitors risk aggregation and profiles to shed undesirable business. Management creates a competitive advantage with an elevated customer experience through loss control and payment benefits, as well as attentive claims services. With over 175 years of operation comes extensive knowledge regarding local, market and regulatory insurance issues. Products are distributed through a network of long-standing independent agencies. The organization has been making strides to enrich the enterprise through the addition of insurance-related services by way of a wholly-owned independent adjusting firm and independent agency.



#### **Business Profile (Continued...)**

	Year	End	-	December 31
--	------	-----	---	-------------

_						5 Year
Premium Composition and Growth	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	CAGR
Direct Premiums Written USD (000)	31,229	29,456	29,249	29,651	29,286	
% Change	6.0	0.7	-1.4	1.2	1.1	1.5
Reinsurance Premiums Assumed USD (000)	249	248				
% Change	0.4					
Reinsurance Premiums Ceded USD (000)	10,401	9,756	9,512	9,479	9,957	
% Change	6.6	2.6	0.3	-4.8	-2.3	0.4
Net Premiums Written USD (000)	21,077	19,948	19,737	20,172	19,329	
% Change	5.7	1.1	-2.2	4.4	3.0	2.4

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

	Direct Prem Writter		Reinsurance Premiums Assumed		Reinsurance Premiums Ceded		Net Premiums Written		Business Retention
2020 By Line Business	USD (000)	%	USD (000)	%	USD (000)	%	USD (000)	%	%
Homeowners	28,851	92.4			9,132	87.8	19,719	93.6	68.3
Allied Lines	1,084	3.5			339	3.3	745	3.5	68.8
Other Liab Occurrence	725	2.3			502	4.8	222	1.1	30.7
Fire	570	1.8			178	1.7	392	1.9	68.8
Top 5	31,229	100.0			10,151	97.6	21,078	100.0	67.5
All Other			249	100.0	250	2.4	-1		-0.5
Total	31,229	100.0	249	100.0	10,401	100.0	21,077	100.0	67.0

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

**Year End - December 31** 

Geographic Breakdown by Direct Premiums Written USD (000)	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Massachusetts	31,229	29,456	29,249	29,651	29,286
Top 5 States	31,229	29,456	29,249	29,651	29,286
Total	31,229	29,456	29,249	29,651	29,286
Geographic Concentration Index	1.00				

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Enterprise Risk Management**

Barnstable's ERM program is viewed as appropriate for the risk profile. Management has put together a comprehensive and measurable ERM program. The ERM policy is reviewed and approved by an Audit Committee, which is then administered by senior management. Additionally, the ERM policy is presented to the full Board of Directors for their review on an annual basis. The policy identifies the importance of and steps to effective risk assessment and evaluation. Risks are documented and reviewed regularly. A risk assessment document identifies risks, describes the risk management approach and assesses risks based on probability and severity. Many areas of risk are discussed including catastrophe, reinsurance, credit, underwriting, regulatory, market, liquidity, brand, and IT risks. Catastrophe risk is heightened by the group's geographic and product concentrations, which are partially mitigated by comprehensive reinsurance. A risk tolerance document describes measurable limits that reflect management's risk appetite.

Barnstable leans on two guiding principles to help mitigate risk: maintaining sufficient capital and adhering to strict risk selection standards. Management identifies the minimum capital required as an ideal percentage of catastrophe reinsurance and surplus to total insured value, which is specifically reflected in the risk tolerance statements. Furthermore, through identification and filtering of numerous risk characteristics, management aims to build a quality book that performs better than average. The group is constantly refining the book to ensure a population of desirable risks.

While the tail risk is evident based on the BCAR score at the 99.8 VaR, Barnstable does not believe the catastrophe models truly capture the quality of its individual risks nor the change in building codes that occurred in 2008. Management focuses on developing a book with the most ideal policies in order to effectively sustain all storms. Strategic efforts to limit exposure, the TIV inflation guard and focus on growing capital are actions the group believes will help improve tail exposure. Furthermore, based on probabilistic hurricane models, characteristic models and deterministic studies, management maintains a strategic Capital to TIV ratio



AMB #: 002978 - Barnstable Group

#### **Enterprise Risk Management (Continued...)**

commensurate of the expected penetration from a single-storm, worst-case scenario. Given the very narrow footprint, AM Best will continue to evaluate the group's risk management techniques, particularly in protection against severe localized events.

#### **Reinsurance Summary**

Catastrophes represent a significant risk that Barnstable must account for. Aside from careful risk selection and exposure management, the group utilizes comprehensive reinsurance with a robust top limit to mitigate the risk and protect the balance sheet. The program provides ground up coverage of \$318.5M on top of a \$11.5M retention which benefits from the underlying excess of loss program. Coverage exceeds the expected gross loss from a 200 year event. The estimated pretax net probable maximum loss from a 100 year event is roughly 12% of policyholders' surplus. Barnstable also maintains general excess of loss and pro rata facultative reinsurance which limits the retention for larger risks, basket coverage and an umbrella program.

### **Financial Statements**

Year End - December 31

	2	2020	2	2019
<b>Balance Sheet</b>	USD (000)	%	USD (000)	%
Cash and Short Term Investments	17,150	12.7	14,806	12.5
Bonds	60,407	44.7	59,340	50.2
Preferred and Common Stock	22,925	17.0	21,540	18.2
Other Invested Assets	18,914	14.0	15,166	12.8
Total Cash and Invested Assets	119,397	88.4	110,853	93.7
Premium Balances	4,620	3.4	4,029	3.4
Other Assets	11,082	8.2	3,380	2.9
Total Assets	135,099	100.0	118,262	100.0
Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves:				
Net Reported Loss Reserves	2,168	1.6	2,512	2.1
Net IBNR Loss Reserves	952	0.7	1,002	0.8
Net LAE Reserves	1,504	1.1	1,365	1.2
Total Net Loss and LAE Reserves	4,623	3.4	4,879	4.1
Net Unearned Premiums	16,435	12.2	15,380	13.0
Other Liabilities	13,803	10.2	4,130	3.5
Total Liabilities	34,861	25.8	24,389	20.6
Unassigned Surplus	87,895	65.1	84,246	71.2
Other Surplus	12,344	9.1	9,628	8.1
Total Policyholders' Surplus	100,239	74.2	93,874	79.4
Total Liabilities and Surplus	135,099	100.0	118,262	100.0



#### Year End - December 31

Income Statement USD (000)	2020	2019
Net Premiums Earned	20,022	19,790
Net Losses and LAE Incurred:		
Current Accident Year	7,300	11,096
Prior Accident Years	-733	-767
Underwriting Expenses Incurred	10,508	9,442
Net Underwriting Income	2,947	18
Net Investment Income	1,011	1,444
Other Income (Expense)	-115	-16
Pre-Tax Operating Income	3,843	1,446
Income Taxes Incurred	1,030	341
Net Operating Income	2,813	1,105
Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)	933	1,012
Net Income	3,746	2,118

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### **Year End - December 31**

Statement of Operating Cash Flows USD (000)	2020	2019
Net Premiums Collected	20,801	19,647
Net Losses Paid	4,406	6,782
Expenses Paid	11,836	11,785
Net Underwriting Cash Flow	4,558	1,080
Net Investment Income	1,733	2,041
Other Income (Expense)	-115	-16
Income Taxes Paid (Recovered)	822	927
Net Operating Cash Flow	5,354	2,178



#### **Last Update**

May 06, 2021

Identifiers
AMB #: 002978

This company is a data record that AM Best utilizes to represent the AM Best Consolidated financials for the Property/Casualty business of AMB#: 000203 Barnstable County Mutual Insurance Company.

AMB#: 000203 Barnstable County Mutual Insurance Company has been assigned as the AMB Group Lead for this consolidation and should be used to access name, address, or other contact information for this AM Best Consolidated Group.

#### **Financial Data Presented**

See <u>LINK</u> for details of the entities represented by the data presented in this report.

# **Barnstable Group**

#### Operations

Date Incorporated: March 02, 1833

**Domiciled:** Massachusetts, United States

**Business Type:** Property/Casualty

Organization Type: Mutual

Marketing Type: Independent Agency

# Best's Credit Ratings

#### **Rating Relationship**

This group represents an AM Best Rating Unit. In our opinion, companies under this Rating Unit have an Excellent ability to meet their ongoing insurance obligations and an Excellent ability to meet their ongoing senior financial obligations.

Best's Credit Rating Effective Date: May 06, 2021

Rating rationale and credit analysis can be found in the Best's Credit Report for AMB# 002978 - Barnstable Group.

		Be	est's Credit Ratings
AMB#	Rating Unit Members	Financial Strength Rating	Long-Term Issuer Credit Rating
002896	Barnstable County Ins Co	А	a
000203	Barnstable County Mut Ins Co	Α	а

# Corporate Structure

Associated Ultimate Parent: AMB # 000203 - Barnstable County Mutual Insurance Company

Based on AM Best's analysis, AMB# 000203 Barnstable County Mutual Insurance Company is the Associated Ultimate Parent to this group. Its current data structure is based on the corporate structure for the associated ultimate parent and the non-legal entities such as data records and AM Best Groups. Access current <a href="Data Structure">Data Structure</a> in BestLink.

#### Financial Results

Financial exhibits presented in this report provide calculated ratios using the most recent AM Best consolidated statements available in BestLink - Best's Statement File - P/C, US. Access <u>Quantitative Analytical Report (QAR) Annual</u>

Currency: US Dollars



# **Balance Sheet Highlights**

Year End - December 31						
2020	2019	2018	2017	2016		
11,233	10,529	10,334	10,344	10,384		
67.0	67.2	67.5	68.0	66.0		
0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.5		
11.2	11.2	11.6	11.4	12.5		
52.0	53.2	53.5	55.1	57.1		
20.8	18.3	20.1	20.0	13.7		
46.0	40.8	41.6	40.9	34.3		
	11,233 67.0 0.8 11.2 52.0 20.8	2020     2019       11,233     10,529       67.0     67.2       0.8     0.8       11.2     11.2       52.0     53.2       20.8     18.3	2020         2019         2018           11,233         10,529         10,334           67.0         67.2         67.5           0.8         0.8         0.9           11.2         11.2         11.6           52.0         53.2         53.5           20.8         18.3         20.1	2020         2019         2018         2017           11,233         10,529         10,334         10,344           67.0         67.2         67.5         68.0           0.8         0.8         0.9         1.0           11.2         11.2         11.6         11.4           52.0         53.2         53.5         55.1           20.8         18.3         20.1         20.0		

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

	Paid & Unpaid Losses	Incurred but not reported (IBNR) losses	Unearned premiums	Other Recoverables	Total Reinsurance Recoverables
2020 Reinsurance Recoverables USD (000)					
US Insurers	87	177	298		562
Pools/Associations	32		132		163
Other Non-US	39	22	48		109
Total (excluding US Affiliates)	158	199	478		834
Grand Total	157	200	476		834

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

# **Asset Liability Management | Investments**

	Year End - December 31					
	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
<b>Bond Portfolio</b>						
Bonds & Short Term Investments USD(000)	60,772	59,795	56,624	58,525	57,948	
By Issuer (%)						
Unaffiliated Bonds:						
US Government	13.6	14.1	10.5	13.7	14.3	
Foreign - All other	1.7	1.8	1.6	0.9	0.9	
State, Municipal & Special Revenue	59.2	56.2	58.1	60.7	69.3	
Industrial and Misc, Hybrid and SVO Identified	25.4	27.9	29.8	24.7	15.5	
Bonds and Short Term Investments By Private vs Public (%	o)					
Private issues	0.1					
Public issues	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Bonds and Short Term Investments By Quality (%)						
Class 1	85.9	86.4	86.0	87.0	92.1	
Class 2	13.3	13.3	13.7	12.3	7.9	
Class 3	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.7		
Below Investment Grade (NAIC 3-6)	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.7		
Below Investment Grade - % of Policyholders' Surplus	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.4		
Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite						
		Year End - December 31				
	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Stock Portfolio						
Stocks USD(000)	22,925	21,540	17,576	24,004	24,005	
By Type (%)						
Unaffiliated Common	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite						



# **Operating Performance Highlights**

	Year End - December 31				
	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
By Line - Net Loss Ratio (%)					
Homeowners	21.3	37.3	49.4	22.9	28.6
Allied Lines	1.9	47.4	35.4	4.6	38.6
Fire	14.1	63.0	1.4	8.0	82.2
Other Liab	-6.5	5.4	-27.6	21.8	59.7
Crop Hail					
All Other	-99.9	-99.9			
Total	20.1	37.8	46.5	21.6	31.3

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

#### Year End - December 31

# **Geographic - Direct Loss Ratio**

(%)	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Massachusetts	13.3	26.2	33.5	16.6	22.4
Total	13.3	26.2	33.5	16.6	22.4

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

# **Business Profile Highlights**

# **Historical Market Presence**

	Year End - December 31				
	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
By Line Breakdown - NPW USD (000)					
Homeowners	19,719	18,513	18,178	18,420	17,453
Allied Lines	745	788	856	954	985
Fire	392	406	437	491	520
Other Liab	222	237	266	307	371
Crop Hail					
All Other	-1	4			
Total	21,077	19,948	19,737	20,172	19,329

Source: BestLink® - Best's Financial Suite

		Year End - December 31			
	2020	2019	2018		
By Line Reserves USD (000)					
Homeowners	4,184	4,263	3,355		
Other Liab	280	337	362		
Allied Lines	111	192	126		
Fire	44	79	53		
Inland Marine	4	8			
Total	4,623	4,879	3,897		





A Best's Financial Strength Rating opinion addresses the relative ability of an insurer to meet its ongoing insurance obligations. The ratings are not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts and do not address any other risk, including, but not limited to, an insurer's claims-payment policies or procedures; the ability of the insurer to dispute or deny claims payment on grounds of misrepresentation or fraud; or any specific liability contractually borne by the policy or contract holder. A Financial Strength Rating is not a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract or any other financial obligation issued by an insurer, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser.

A Best's Issue/Issuer Credit Rating is an opinion regarding the relative future credit risk of an entity, a credit commitment or a debt or debt-like security.

Credit risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due. These credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to liquidity risk, market value risk or price volatility of rated securities. The rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any securities, insurance policies, contracts or any other financial obligations, nor does it address the suitability of any particular financial obligation for a specific purpose or purchaser.

In arriving at a rating decision, AM Best relies on third-party audited financial data and/or other information provided to it. While this information is believed to be reliable, AM Best does not independently verify the accuracy or reliability of the information. Any and all ratings, opinions and information contained herein are provided "as is," without any express or implied warranty.

Visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings/index.html">http://www.ambest.com/ratings/index.html</a> for additional information or <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">http://www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. For current ratings visit <a href="http://www.ambest.com/ratings">www.ambest.com/ratings</a> for details on the Terms of Use. Terms of Use. Terms of Use. Terms of Use. T

# Best's Credit Rating Methodology | Disclaimer | Best's Credit Rating Guide

#### Copyright © 2021 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

No portion of this content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM Best. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. For additional details, refer to our Terms of Use available at AM Best website: <a href="https://www.ambest.com/terms">www.ambest.com/terms</a>.

